



## EQUIPES FRM 2021 CALL FOR PROPOSALS Review procedure

1. Go to <https://frm-ng.evision.ca/en>



Username   
Password   
 Remember me

[Password forgotten?](#)

2. Enter your username (email address) and password
3. Click on Self Assessment / Evaluation



4. Click on Committee ID "92"

Self Assessment / Evaluation   Meeting

## Self Assessment / Evaluation

COMMITTEES			
Committee ID	Committee	Deadline	Status
<input type="text" value="92"/>	<input type="text" value="Conseil Scientifique Demande EQU du 19 ... - 2021"/>	<input type="text" value="2021-03-07 23:59"/>	In progress

- If you wish to fill an expertise form in French, please click on "FR" at the right top corner of the screen
- When you connect for the first time, you have to **read and accept the Confidentiality and disclosure agreement**

**Self Assessment / Evaluation**

WORKLIST - COMMITTEE: #46 - CONSEIL SCIENTIFIQUE DEMANDE EQU DU 22 MARS 2019 -2018

**Terms of use**

CONFIDENTIALITY AND NON DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

• **Acting as a scientific council or a committee member of the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale.** I certify that I will have to review scientific, technical or industrial confidential information during my expertise work on behalf of the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale.

I will not disclose any confidential information communicated to me either orally or in writing or by any other means in the context of my expertise work and I undertake:

- Not to disclose confidential information entrusted to me by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale.
- Not to communicate with third parties the content of reviews, debates or the own opinion of other Council or Committee members, or FRM employees
- Not to use the documents and information available through the expertise for any other purpose, especially ideas and data exposed within the proposals.
- Not to keep, not to transmit or make available all files or documents after completion of evaluation.
- To inform the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale of any potential conflict of interest concerning a specific evaluation that would put me in a situation in which my impartiality might be questioned

I also certify that I am aware of the ineligibility of my research team to the call(s) for proposals managed by the Council or the committee which I belong to during my term.

I accept the present commitment both for the review and selection of the proposals and the scientific follow-up of the selected projects.

I agree to take all steps to ensure compliance with my obligations

I have read the entire contents of this 'Confidentiality and Non Disclosure Agreement' and fully understand its contents. I hereby state that I have entered into this agreement of my own free will.

I understand that any violation of this Agreement can result in immediate termination of contract.

• **Acting as an external referee for evaluation of research projects on behalf of the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale.** I agree to the following rules:

- Not to disclose confidential information entrusted to me by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale.
- Not to use the documents and information available through the expertise for any other purpose.
- Not to use ideas or the data contained in the proposals.
- To inform the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale of any potential conflict of interest concerning a specific evaluation that would put me in a situation in which my impartiality might be questioned
- Not to keep, not to transmit or make available all files or documents after completion of evaluation.

I agree to take all steps to ensure compliance with my obligations

I have read the entire contents of this 'Confidentiality and Non Disclosure Agreement' and fully understand its contents. I hereby state that I have entered into this agreement of my own free will.

I understand that any violation of this Agreement can result in immediate termination of contract.

- The worklist (= a list of assigned applications) appears:

**Self Assessment / Evaluation**

WORKLIST - COMMITTEE: #46 - CONSEIL SCIENTIFIQUE DEMANDE EQU DU 22 MARS 2019 - 2018							
TOP	Project No	Applicant Name	Program	Due	Status	Reviewer score	Priority
1	2795	VIGNUZZI, MARCO	Team FRM	2019-03-12 09:00	Assigned	0.000	

**Your Selection**  
 0 out of 1

Do not take into account the column "TOP" and "Your selection" notification.

- To start the review**, click on the application number. The worksheet of application appears including 3 tabs : Committee details, Application details and Review
- Application details tab**: click on "[Application Form and attachment \(Full version\)](#)" to preview an application.


**WORKSHEET - 12589 - AIT OUFELLA, HAFID - TEAM FRM**

<b>Description</b>	Conseil Scientifique Demande EQU du 19 mars 2021/ Scientific committee 19th march 2021	
<b>Reviewer Name</b>	FRM, FRM	
<b>Reviewer Role</b>	External Reviewer (External)	


**Application details**

<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Document</b>
<a href="#">AIT OUFELLA, HAFID</a> 	<a href="#">Application Form and attachment (Full version)</a>



## 7. Review tab:

Declare if you have a **conflict of interest** or not with the applicant

*If you click on "Conflict", an **alert message** appears. If you continue, you will no longer have access to this application.*

**3 evaluation criteria**, total Score **50 points** including:

- **Applicant team** criterion (Score : **20** points) ;
- **Research Programme** criterion (Score : **20** points)
- **Funding** criterion (Score : **10** points)

### Rating with a Score of 10:

0 = Proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.

1-2 = Poor. The criterion is inadequately addressed or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

3-4 = Fair. The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.

5-6 = Good. The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.

7-8 = Very good. The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.

9-10 = Excellent. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

### Rating with a Score of 20:

0 = Proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.

1-4 = Poor. The criterion is inadequately addressed or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

5-8 = Fair. The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.

9-12 = Good. The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.

13-16 = Very good. The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.

16-20 = Excellent. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.



**My Review**

If you believe that you have a conflict of interest with this application, please declare it below.

\* **Conflict of Interest**       No conflict       Conflict

**TOTAL SCORE**

**0**

**REVIEWER FORM**

Base your detailed comments on the headings provided below. Please enter your comments in each text box after the description of the evaluation criterion.

**OVERALL COMMENTS**

\*

**Applicant team**

- CV and quality of the applicant's publications
- Complementarity and contribution of team members

\* **Score**     

\* **Comments**     

Save your review form

*An alert message "You must SUBMIT your review and complete your selection (TOP) in the working list" appears. Please do not consider a mention "selection TOP", this option is not relevant for extern reviewers.*

Submit your review form. *Attention: Once submitted, you will not be able to change scores and comments.*

### Research programme

- Originality
- Description of the scientific background and objectives
- Methods and experimental approaches
- Medical and scientific interest
- Contribution of external collaborations.
- Feasibility

\* Score

\* Comments

### Funding

- Importance and complementarity of other sources of funding
- Justification of the requested budget

\* Score

\* Comments

### Committee notes (not available for the PI)